

# REPORT OF EFFICIENCY RATING

As of 3/31/48 based on performance during period from 7/21/47 to 3/31/48

Edward T. Barnard,  
(Name of employee)

Intelligence Officer P-5

(Title of position, service, and grade)

Operations, Contact

(Organization—Indicate bureau, division, section, unit, field station)

ON LINES BELOW MARK EMPLOYEE	1. Study the instructions in the Rating Official's Guide, C. S. C. Form No. 3823A. 2. Underline the elements which are especially important in the position. 3. Rate only on elements pertinent to the position. a. Do not rate on elements in <i>italics</i> except for employees in administrative, supervisory, or planning positions. b. Rate administrative, supervisory, and planning functions on elements in <i>italics</i> .	CHECK ONE: Administrative, supervisory, or planning <input type="checkbox"/> All others <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
✓ if adequate		
— if weak		
+ if outstanding		

- (1) Maintenance of equipment, tools, instruments.
- (2) Mechanical skill.
- (3) Skill in the application of techniques and procedures.
- (4) Presentability of work (appropriateness of arrangement and appearance of work).
- + (5) Attention to broad phases of assignments.
- (6) Attention to pertinent detail.
- (7) Accuracy of operations.
- (8) Accuracy of final results.
- + (9) Accuracy of judgments or decisions.
- (10) Effectiveness in presenting ideas or facts.
- (11) Industry.
- (12) Rate of progress on or completion of assignments.
- (13) Amount of acceptable work produced. (Is mark based on production records? (Yes or no))
- + (14) Ability to organize his work.
- + (15) Effectiveness in meeting and dealing with others.
- + (16) Cooperativeness.
- + (17) Initiative.
- (18) Resourcefulness.
- + (19) Dependability.
- (20) Physical fitness for the work.

- (21) Effectiveness in planning broad programs.
- (22) Effectiveness in adapting the work program to broader or related programs.
- (23) Effectiveness in devising procedures.
- (24) Effectiveness in laying out work and establishing standards of performance for subordinates.
- (25) Effectiveness in directing, reviewing, and checking the work of subordinates.
- (26) Effectiveness in instructing, training, and developing subordinates in the work.
- (27) Effectiveness in promoting high working morale.
- (28) Effectiveness in determining space, personnel, and equipment needs.
- (29) Effectiveness in setting and obtaining adherence to time limits and deadlines.
- (30) Ability to make decisions.
- (31) Effectiveness in delegating clearly defined authority to act.

#### STATE ANY OTHER ELEMENTS CONSIDERED

— (A) \_\_\_\_\_

— (B) \_\_\_\_\_

— (C) \_\_\_\_\_

STANDARD Deviations must be explained on reverse side of this form	Adjective rating
Plus marks on all underlined elements, and no minus marks.	Adjective rating Excellent
Plus marks on at least half of the underlined elements, and no minus marks.	Very good
Check marks or better on a majority of underlined elements, and any minus marks overcompensated by plus marks.	Good
Check marks or better on a majority of underlined elements, and minus marks not overcompensated by plus marks.	Fair
Minus marks on at least half of the underlined elements.	Unsatisfactory

Rated by \_\_\_\_\_

*Chief N.Y.C.*  
(Title)

*April 3, 1948*  
(Date)

Reviewed by \_\_\_\_\_

*Chief 710*  
(Title)

*April 13, 1948*  
(Date)

Rating approved by efficiency rating committee

*5/3/48*  
(Date)

Report to employee

*5/3/48*  
(Adjective rating)

APPROVED FOR RELEASE

DATE: FEB 2008